

## ORGANISATIONAL CHANGE OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Date of Meeting	Monday, 18 <sup>th</sup> March 2019
Report Subject	Quarter 3 Council Plan 2018/19 Monitoring Report
Cabinet Member	Cabinet Member for Corporate Management and Assets; and Cabinet Member for Education
Report Author	Chief Officer (Housing and Assets); and Chief Officer (Social Services)
Type of Report	Operational

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Council Plan 2018/19 was adopted by the Council in June 2018. This report presents a summary of the monitoring of progress for the Quarter 3 (October – December 2018) position of 2018/19 for the Council Plan priority 'Connected Council' relevant to the Organisational Change Overview & Scrutiny Committee.

Flintshire is a high performing Council as evidenced in previous Council Plan monitoring reports as well as in the Council's Annual Performance Reports. This second monitoring report for the 2018/19 Council Plan is a positive report, with 92% of activities being assessed as making good progress, and 85% likely to achieve the desired outcome. In addition, 67% of the performance indicators met or exceeded target. Risks are also being successfully managed with the majority being assessed as moderate (61%) or minor/insignificant (22%).

RECO	OMMENDATIONS
1	That the Committee consider the Quarter 3 Council Plan 2018/19 Monitoring Report to monitor under performance and request further information as appropriate.

## **REPORT DETAILS**

1.00	EXPLAINING THE COUNCIL PLAN 2018/19 MONITORING REPORT
1.01	The Council Plan monitoring reports give an explanation of the progress being made toward the delivery of the impacts set out in the 2018/19 Council Plan. The narrative is supported by performance indicators and / or milestones which evidence achievement. In addition, there is an assessment of the strategic risks and the level to which they are being controlled.
1.02	This is an exception based report and detail therefore focuses on the areas of under-performance.
1.03	<b>Monitoring our Activities</b> Each of the sub-priorities have high level activities which are monitored over time. 'Progress' monitors progress against scheduled activity and has been categorised as follows: -
	<ul> <li>RED: Limited Progress – delay in scheduled activity; not on track</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>AMBER: Satisfactory Progress – some delay in scheduled activity, but broadly on track</li> </ul>
	GREEN: Good Progress – activities completed on schedule, on track
	A RAG status is also given as an assessment of our level of confidence at this point in time in achieving the 'outcome(s)' for each sub-priority. Outcome has been categorised as: -
	<ul> <li>RED: Low – lower level of confidence in the achievement of the outcome(s)</li> <li>AMBER: Medium – uncertain level of confidence in the achievement of the outcome(s)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>GREEN: High – full confidence in the achievement of the outcome(s)</li> </ul>
1.04	In summary our overall progress against the high level activities is: -
	ACTIVITIES PROGRESS
	<ul> <li>We are making good (green) progress in 48 (92%).</li> </ul>
	• We are making satisfactory (amber) progress in 4 (8%).
	ACTIVITIES OUTCOME
	<ul> <li>We have a high (green) level of confidence in the outcome achievement of 44 (85%).</li> </ul>
	• We have a medium (amber) level of confidence in the outcome achievement of 8 (15%).
	<ul> <li>No activities have a low (red) level of confidence in their outcome achievement.</li> </ul>
1.05	Monitoring our Performance
	Analysis of performance against the Improvement Plan performance indicators is undertaken using the RAG (Red, Amber Green) status. This is defined as follows: -
	<ul> <li>RED equates to a position of under-performance against target.</li> </ul>
	AMBER equates to a mid-position where improvement may have been made

	but performance has missed the target.
	<ul> <li>GREEN equates to a position of positive performance against target.</li> </ul>
1.06	<ul> <li>Analysis of current levels of performance against period target shows the following:</li> <li>29 (67%) had achieved a green RAG status</li> <li>12 (28%) had achieved an amber RAG status</li> <li>2 (5%) had achieved a red RAG status</li> </ul>
1.07	There are no performance indicators (PI) showing a red RAG status for current performance against target, relevant to the Organisational Change Overview & Scrutiny Committee.
1.08	<ul> <li>Monitoring our Risks</li> <li>Analysis of the current risk levels for the strategic risks identified in the Council Plan is as follows: - <ul> <li>5 (11%) are insignificant (green)</li> <li>5 (11%) are minor (yellow)</li> <li>27 (61%) are moderate (amber)</li> <li>7 (16%) are major (red)</li> <li>0 (0%) are severe (black)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Note that due to rounding issues the above does not add up to 100%. A number of decimal points would be required to show this.</li> </ul>
1.09	There are no major (red) risks identified for the Organisational Change Overview & Scrutiny Committee.

2.00	RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS
2.01	There are no specific resource implications for this report.

3.00	CONSULTATIONS REQUIRED / CARRIED OUT
3.01	The Council Plan Priorities are monitored by the appropriate Overview and Scrutiny Committees according to the priority area of interest.
3.02	Chief Officers have contributed towards reporting of relevant information.

4.00	RISK MANAGEMENT
4.01	Progress against the risks identified in the Council Plan is included in the report at Appendix 1.

5.00	APPENDICES
5.01	Appendix 1 - Council Plan 2018/19 – Quarter 3 Monitoring Report – Connected Council.

6.00	LIST OF ACCESS	IBLE BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS
6.01	Council Plan 20 <sup>7</sup>	17/18: http://www.flintshire.gov.uk/en/Resident/Council-and-
	Democracy/Impro	ovement-Plan.aspx
	Contact Officer:	Ceri Shotton
	Contact Officer: Telephone:	Ceri Shotton 01352 702305

7.00	GLO	DSSARY OF	TERMS					
7.01	is a		nt of the	e Local G	Governme	ent (Wales	•	of the Counci re 2009 to
7.02 7.03	end of a opp	orsed by Au	dit Commi ore sophi show char	ttee in Jur sticated risinges over t	ne 2015. sk asses	The new a	pproach,	sk managem includes the provides grea
		Catastrophic	Y	A	R	R	В	В
	mpact Severity	Critical	Y	A	А	R	R	R
	Impact (	Marginal	G	Y	А	А	А	R
		Negligible	G	G	Y	Y	A	A
			Unlikely (5%)	Very Low (15%)	Low (30%)	Significant (50%)	Very High (65%)	Extremely High (80%)
				Likelihoo	od & Percen	tage of risk ha	ppening	

The new approach to risk assessment was created in response to recommendations in the Corporate Assessment report from the Wales Audit Office and Internal Audit.

7.04	CAMMS – An explanation of the report headings
	ActionsAction – Each sub-priority have high level activities attached to them to help achieve the outcomes of the sub-priority.Lead Officer – The person responsible for updating the data on the action.Status – This will either be 'In progress' if the action has a start and finish date or 'Ongoing' if it is an action that is longer term than the reporting year.Start date – When the action started (usually the start of the financial year).End date – When the action is expected to be completed.% complete - The % that the action is complete at the time of the report. This only applies to actions that are 'in progress'. An action that is 'ongoing' will not produce a % complete due to the longer-term nature of the action.Progress RAG – Shows if the action at this point in time is making limited progress (Red), satisfactory progress (Amber) or good progress (Green).Outcome RAG – Shows the level of confidence in achieving the outcomes for each action.
	<ul> <li>Measures (Key Performance Indicators - KPIs)</li> <li>Pre. Year Period Actual – The period actual at the same point in the previous year. If the KPI is a new KPI for the year then this will show as 'no data'.</li> <li>Period Actual – The data for this quarter.</li> <li>Period Target – The target for this quarter as set at the beginning of the year.</li> <li>Perf. RAG – This measures performance for the period against the target. It is automatically generated according to the data. Red = a position of under performance against target, Amber = a mid-position where improvement may have been made but performance has missed the target and Green = a position of positive performance against the target.</li> <li>Perf. Indicator Trend – Trend arrows give an impression of the direction the performance is heading compared to the period of the previous year:</li> <li>A 'downward arrow' always indicates poorer performance regardless of whether a KPI figure means that less is better (e.g. the amount of days to deliver a grant or undertake a review) or if a KPI figure means that more is better (e.g. number of new jobs in Flintshire).</li> <li>Similarly an 'upward arrow' always indicates improved performance.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li><u>YTD Actual</u> – The data for the year so far including previous quarters.</li> <li><u>YTD Target</u> – The target for the year so far including the targets of previous quarters.</li> <li><u>Outcome RAG</u> – The level of confidence of meeting the target by the end of the year. Low – lower level of confidence in the achievement of the target (Red), Medium – uncertain level of confidence in the achievement of the target (Amber) and High - full confidence in the achievement of the target (Green).</li> </ul>
	RisksRisk Title – Gives a description of the risk.Lead Officer – The person responsible for managing the risk.Supporting Officer – The person responsible for updating the risk.Initial Risk Rating – The level of the risk at the start of the financial year (quarter 1).The risks are identified as follows; insignificant (green), minor (yellow), moderate (amber), major (red) and severe (black).Current Risk Rating – The level of the risk at this quarter.

<u>Risk Status</u> – This will either show as 'open' or 'closed'. If a risk is open then it is still a relevant risk, if the risk is closed then it is no longer a relevant risk; a new risk may be generated where a plan or strategy moves into a new phase.
--